United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,850	10/22/2003	Ari Hottinen	60091.00238	4223
32294 SOUIRE, SAN	7590 09/13/2007 NDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.		EXAMINER	
14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT			KIM, KEVIN	
	RNER, VA 22182		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		, ,	2611	1-1
				` `
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<u> </u>						
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/689,850	HOTTINEN, ARI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kevin Y. Kim	2611			
The MAILII Period for Reply	NG DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover she	et with the correspondence add	ress		
A SHORTENED S WHICHEVER IS I - Extensions of time ma after SIX (6) MONTHS - If NO period for reply i - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. s specified above, the maximum statutory period we the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, the Office later than three months after the mailing justment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMN 36(a). In no event, however, r vill apply and will expire SIX (6 cause the application to become	IUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed S) MONTHS from the mailing date of this compone ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status						
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed on 21 Ma	av 2007.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this a	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	cordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claim	ıs	•				
4a) Of the a 5)	4,6-19,21-34 and 36-49 is/are pendibove claim(s) is/are withdrav is/are allowed. 4,6-10,12-19,21-25,27-34,36-40,42-1,26,41,48 and 49 is/are objected to are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration -47 is/are rejected.	1.			
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specific	ation is objected to by the Examine	Г.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant ma	y not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in al	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	t drawing sheet(s) including the correcti declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119		,			
12) Acknowledg a) All b) Certif 2. Certif 3. Copie	ment is made of a claim for foreign Some * c) None of: fied copies of the priority documents fied copies of the priority documents es of the certified copies of the priorication from the International Bureaus thed detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received s have been received ity documents have l (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	I. I in Application No been received in this National S	tage		
		*	•			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of Reference: 2) Notice of Draftspers	s Cited (PTO-892) on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date			
	re Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		ce of Informal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-4,6-10,12-19,21-25,27-34,36-40,42-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pasanen et al (US 2007/0109954)

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Claims 1,7,8,9,10,12,13,14,15.

Art Unit: 2611

Pasanen et al describes a method (see Fig.7) including:

determining a performance measure (Channel Quality Indicator) characterizing performance of a communication channel between a first transceiver and a second transceiver in a telecommunication system by using an extended channel model which depends on a non-orthogonal modulation matrix, the communication channel including non-orthogonal modulation by the non-orthogonal modulation matrix (see paragraph [0036]), wherein modulation symbols are distributed using at least two radiation patterns (space-time modulation as describe in paragraph [0036]), the performance measure being sensitive to the modulation; and

controlling the communication resources based on the performance measure (see Scheduler 22 in Fig.8 in response to the channel estimation).

Note that an "extended" channel model is not given weight since there is no reference given in the claim to judge what is extended.

Claims 2-4.

Pasanen et al teaches determining a plurality of performance measures for a plurality of communication channels between the first transceiver and the second transceiver (see paragraph [0079]);

and controlling the communication resources based on the performance measures.

Claim 6.

The space-time modulation taught by Pasanen et al provides the modulation matrix includes at least one symbol which is transmitted using at least two antenna resources within at least two symbol time intervals.

Art Unit: 2611

Claims 16,22,23,24,25,27,28,30

Pasanen et al describes an arrangement (see Fig.8) including;

determining unit (23,24,25) configured to determine a performance measure characterizing performance of a communication channel between a first transceiver and a second transceiver in a telecommunications system by using an extended channel model which depends on a non-orthogonal modulation matrix, the communication channel including non-orthogonal modulation by the non-orthogonal modulation matrix, wherein modulation symbols are distributed using at least two radiation patterns, the performance measure being sensitive to the modulation; and

a controlling unit (22) configured to control the communication resources based on the performance measure.

Claims 17-19.

Pasanen et al teaches determining a plurality of performance measures for a plurality of communication channels between the first transceiver and the second transceiver (see paragraph [0079]);

and controlling the communication resources based on the performance measures.

Claim 21.

The space-time modulation taught by Pasanen et al provides the modulation matrix includes at least one symbol which is transmitted using at least two antenna resources within at

Art Unit: 2611

least two symbol time intervals.

Claims 31,37,38,39,40,42,43,44,45.

Pasanen et al describes a controller of a telecommunications system, the controller including:

a performance measure estimator (23) configured to determine a performance measure that characterizes performance of a communication channel between a first transceiver and a second transceiver by using an extended channel model which depends on a non-orthogonal modulation matrix, the communication channel including non-orthogonal modulation by the non- orthogonal modulation matrix modulation,

wherein modulation symbols are distributed using at least two radiation patterns; and wherein the performance measure is sensitive to the modulation; and

a control unit (22) connected to the performance measurement unit, the control unit being configured to control the communication resources based on the performance measure.

Claims 32-34.

Pasanen et al teaches determining a plurality of performance measures for a plurality of communication channels between the first transceiver and the second transceiver (see paragraph [0079]);

and controlling the communication resources based on the performance measures.

Claim 36.

Art Unit: 2611

The space-time modulation taught by Pasanen et al provides the modulation matrix

Page 6

includes at least one symbol which is transmitted using at least two antenna resources within at

least two symbol time intervals.

Claim 46.

Pasanen et al describes an arrangement (see Fig.8) including:

Means (23,24,25) for determining a performance measure characterizing performance of

a communication channel between a first transceiver and a second transceiver by using an

extended channel model which depends on a non-orthogonal modulation matrix, the

communication channel including non-orthogonal modulation by the non-orthogonal

modulation matrix, wherein modulation symbols are distributed using at least two

radiation patterns, the performance measure being sensitive to the modulation; and

means (22) for controlling the communication resources based on the performance

measure.

Claim 47.

Note that an "extended" channel model is not given weight since there is no reference

given in the claim to judge what is extended. Thus, any channel model matrix such as

described by Pasanen reads on "an extended channel model."

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 2611

Page 7

3. Claims 11, 26,41,48,49 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim,

but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kevin Y. Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-3039. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8AM --5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Shuwang Liu can be reached on 571-272-3036. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

September 10, 2007

AU 2611

KEVIN KIM
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

16 Mi